been transferred are as under:— (i)

More than 3 *years*

(a) Assistant Engineer 2

(b) Junior Engineer 3

(c) Head Clerk1 (d) Upper Division Clerk 2 (e

(f) Draughtsman Grade-Ill 1

(g) Work Assistant 1 (ii) *More than* 4 *years*

(a) Asistant Engineer *2*

(b) Junior Engineer 2

(c) Head Clerk 1

(d) Upper Division Clerk 1

(e) Lower Division Clerk 2

(f) Work Assistant 1

(g) Draughtsman Grade-Ill 1

(iii) *More than* 5 *Tears*

(a) Assistant Engineer 1

(b) Junior Engineer 2

(c) Head Clerk i

(d) Draughtsman Grade-Ill 1

(e) Upper Division clerk 1

(f) Work Assistant 1

(g) Lower Division Clerk 1 (iv) *More than* 6 *years*

(a) Junior Engineer 2

(b) Upper Division Clerk 1

(c) Work Assistant 1

(d) Lower Division Clerk 1

It may be noted that those against items (ii) (iii) and (iv) have also been in cluded in item (i), similarly, (iii) & (iv) have been included in items (ii) and those at item (iv) are also reflected in item (iii).

(b) No such case of doubtful integrity of engineers and. staff has come to notice so: far.

(c) Rotational transfers are taking place as per administrative requirement.

Encroachments in Govt. Colony B.K.S. Marg, New Delhi

3499. MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any complaints regarding encroachments in Government Colony B.K.S. Marg, New Delhi;

(b) if so, the details of the encroachments identified in that colony;

(c) whether Government have conducted any inquiry in this regard and if so, whether any responsibility has been fixed in the matter; and

(d) what further action Government are contemplating to remove the encroachments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The encroachments are in the shape of temporary construction for front/rear coverage.

(c) The matter is under inquiry.

(d) In view of the violation of allotment rules, which do not permit encroachments, show-cause notices are being issued in individual cases and non-removal of these encroachments will result in cancellation of allotments and subsequent action for eviction.

Development work in Yamuna Vihar

3500. SHRI DEBABRATA BISWAS: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOP MENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether DDA has stopped develop-ment work in Yamuna Vihar relating to construction! of markets, community centres etc; and

(b) the details of the time schedule fixed fox completion of such services?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): ta) No Sir.

(b) The services related to the local shopping Centre in Block C-2, C-3 are expected to be completed within six months' time. The services like sewerage, drainage, water supply, laying of cables and other landscaping features of Community Centre are still under planning stage and it is expected that these services shall be completed in a period of 2i years.

दिल्ली में स्लम सम्पत्ति (निष्क्रांत सम्पत्ति) का अंतरण

8501. श्री मोहम्मद अफजल उर्फ मीम अफजल : क्या शहरी विकास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि केन्द्र में जनता पार्टी के शासन काल के दौरान स्लम सम्पत्तियों (निष्क्रांत सम्पत्तियों) को उनमें रहने वालों को अंतरित करने का निर्णय किया गया था ; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो इस संबंध में अब तक क्या कार्यवाही की गयी है ?

शहरी विकास मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम. अरुणाचलम): (क) और (ख) सूचना एकत्र की जा रही है तथा सभा पटल पर रख दी जाएगी ।

Panel on Illegal construction

3502. SHRI SHIVPRASAD CHANPURIA; Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news item which appeared in the Times of India of 18th November, 1991 entitled Illegal Construction, Panel told to probe questionnaire: and

(b) if so, what are the findings of the panel?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM):
(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Committee constituted to review the building bye-laws in Delhi under the. direction of the High Court of Delhi is presently engaged in examining the questions posed to it by the Court on 15-11-91.

Allotment of land to Surya Kiran House Building Cooperative Society Delhi

3503. SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to reply to Unstarred Question 1074 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th May, 1990 and state the reasons for not including the name of Surya Kiran Group Housing Cooperative Society Limited in the subsequent list sent to DDA for allotment of land in Papankala Phase I and whether Government propose to look into and take further action in the matter.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): In the reply given to the Unstarred question No. 1074 in the Rajya Sabha on 18th May, 1990 a list of 927 societies whose list stood verified and forwarded to DDA as on the date by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies was enclosed in reply *to* part 'a'of the question. This list was prepared in the order of registration number omitting the names of such societies where list was yet to be approved.

Subsequently in *June,* 90, the DDA had requested the office of Registrar to furnish a list of 400 societies arranged according to their date of approval of the list of members by the Registrar as per criteria laid down in the Ministry of Urban Deve- lopment Office Memorandum dated ; 29. 90. Accordingly a list of 400 socities was prepared by the Registrar's office and sent to the DDA in August 90. This list of 400 socities covered only those societies which had got their list approved upto 27.9 85. Since the list of members of Surya Kiran Group Housing Cooperative Society Ltd.Registration *fid.* 1202) was